UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/700,043	06/15/2001	Marc Bonjean	4077-0117P	9065
	7590 10/14/200 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 8910		ROJAS, BERNARD		
RESTON, VA	20193		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/700,	043	BONJEAN ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		BERNAI	RD ROJAS	2832				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	nd on 12 January 20	001					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 January 2001</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.						
. —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) 1,2,5 and 7 is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>3,4 and 6</u> is/are objected to).						
=	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	on Papers							
	· / ·	o Evaminor						
•	The specification is objected to by the		a) abjected to by the	a Evaminar				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •	D 1 101/d\			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Funation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 11092000.	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date				

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Should applicant desire to obtain the benefit of foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) prior to declaration of an interference, a certified English translation of the foreign application must be submitted in reply to this action. 37 CFR 41.154(b) and 41.202(e).

Failure to provide a certified translation may result in no benefit being accorded for the non-English application.

Specification

Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 8 is not present in the application although a preliminary amendment has been filed for claim 8. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Art Unit: 2832

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Claims 1, 2, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wygnanski [US 6,598,621].

Claim 1, Wygnanski discloses a magnetic drive for a switch, in particular for an electric switch [abs, the magnetic drive can be used for opening and closing electrical contacts] having an armature [10, 22] which is linearly displaceable between two end positions of a space [figure 1], with at least one movable switch contact [not shown but would could be 20 or 26, abs, the magnetic drive can be used for opening and closing electrical contacts], having a shunt body [40] made of a magnetizable material and arranged at a distance from the armature [figure 1] essentially on the axis of displacement of the armature, and having means [12, 12, 36, 38] for generating a magnetic field which exerts a retaining force on the armature holding it in the end positions [up or down], where the course of the flux lines of the magnetic field is altered by bringing the shunt body [40] together with the armature so that the retaining force acting on the armature is reduced, wherein a lock means [42] for the shunt body, by which the shunt body can be held in the end position facing the former and can be released from the end position by exerting a low force or power [col. 10 lines 21-53].

Claim 2, Wygnanski discloses the magnetic drive according to claim 1, wherein the shunt body [40] can be locked in the end position [up against 12 and 14] by means

of mechanical holding devices [42, a de-energized piezo bender is straight, col. 10 lines 26-30].

Claim 5, Wygnanski discloses the magnetic drive according to claim 1, wherein the shunt body [40] can be locked in the end position [up against 12 and 14] by means of the magnetic holding means [40 is attracted to 12 and 14, col. 10 lines 26-30].

Claim 7, Wygnanski discloses the magnetic drive according to wherein the electric switch [abs] is closed in the end position of the armature [10, 22] facing away from the shunt body [40, if the electrical contacts are 20], and it is open in the end position of the armature [10, 22] facing the shunt body [40, if the electrical contacts are 20].

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 4 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BERNARD ROJAS whose telephone number is (571)272-1998. The examiner can normally be reached on M and W-F, 10:00-7:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/700,043

Art Unit: 2832

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 5

supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Elvin G Enad/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2832

Br

/Bernard Rojas/

Examiner, Art Unit 2832